1 2 3 4 5	COLIN L. COOPER, SBN 144291 KELLIN R. COOPER, SBN 172111 DUSTIN GORDON, SBN 205216 COOPER LAW OFFICES 800 Jones Street Berkeley, California 94710 Telephone (510) 558-8400 Fax (510) 558-8401 Attorneys for Defendant DERRIK DENNIS	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	OAKLAND DIVISION	
LO) CR 13-cr-742-JST-1
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)) STIPULATION AND ORDER)
L2	Plaintiff,	
L3	vs.))
L4	DERRIK DENNIS,)
L5	Defendant.)
L6))
L7		_/
18	This matter is currently set for a detention hearing on November 26, 2013. The parties	
L9	hereby stipulate to vacate that date and reset the hearing for December 18, 2013. The parties are	
20	requesting this to allow them time review the discovery and explore possible resolutions to the	
21	case.	
22	The defendant and the government consent to the extension of time, and the parties	
23	represent that good cause exists for this extension, including the effective preparation of counsel	
24	See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice are served by	
25		

Case 4:13-cr-00742-JST Document 11 Filed 11/25/13 Page 2 of 2

1 granting an extension and that an exclusion of time outweighs the best interests of the public and 2 the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). 3 4 SO STIPULATED: 5 COLIN L. COOPER б Attorney for DERRIK DENNIS 7 8 **WADE RHYNE Assistant United States Attorney** 9 10 11 <u>ORDER</u> 12 For the reasons stated above, the Court sets Wednesday, December 18, 2013, at the hour 13 of 9:30 a.m., as the date for detention hearing. The court also finds that the exclusion of this 14 period from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. §3161 is warranted, and that the ends of 15 justice served by the continuance outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in the 16 prompt disposition of this criminal case; and that the failure to grant the requested exclusion of 17 time would deny counsel for the defendant and for the government the reasonable time necessary 18 for effective preparation and continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due 19 diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 22 Dated: 11/25/13 23 United States Magistrate Court Judge 24 25